#### **Update on Cryogenic Collimators**

#### **Phase II Specification and Implementation Meeting**

Th. Weiler, R. Assmann, J. Jowett

Accelerator and Beam Department, CERN





### **Reminder: Phase1 System**



Th. Weiler, AB/ABP-LCU, CERN

#### **Proposal for Phase2 Efficiency Improvement**

Problem from the cleaning efficiency side of view of Phase1 and Phase2 system are the losses in the dispersion suppressor after the cleaning insertions.

Idea for a possible Phase2 system is to add additional collimators in the dispersion suppressor at the location of the loss peaks seen.



 $\Rightarrow$  make use of space from missing dipole

#### **Proposal for Phase2 Efficiency Improvement**

Problem from the cleaning efficiency side of view of Phase1 and Phase2 system are the losses in the dispersion suppressor after the cleaning insertions.

Idea for a possible Phase2 system is to add additional collimators in the dispersion suppressor at the location of the loss peaks seen.



symmetric shift of two dipoles at the beginning and end of the dispersion suppressor by 3 m.

## **Reminder: Optics V6.500**



#### **Optcis V6.503 Thin Lens**



#### **Optcis V6.503 Thin Lens**



### Performance Phase 2 & Optics V6.503

Loss-map around LHC for beam 1 (for 29.0M particles)



Copper secondaries set to nominal settings, carbon secondaries set to relaxed opening (26.5 $\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material copper, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at 15 $\sigma$ .

### Performance Phase 2 & Optics V6.503

#### **Zoom in IR7 and dispersion suppressor**



Copper secondaries set to nominal settings, carbon secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material copper, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $15\sigma$ .

#### **Further Studies**

- Iook at cleaning inefficiency for increased gap size (momentum cleaning collimators kept at nominal settings)
- impact on impedance ( $\Rightarrow$  E. Metral)

Collimator group	nominal	step1 ( $pprox  imes 1.2$ )	step2 ( $pprox  imes 1.5$ )	step3 ( $pprox  imes 2.0$ )
	$[\sigma]$	[σ]	[σ]	[σ]
TCP IR7	6.0	7.2	9.0	12.0
TCS IR7	7.0	8.4	10.5	14.0
TCLA IR7	10.0	12.0	13.0	16.0
TCLP	10.0	12.0	13.0	16.0
ТСТ	8.3	10.0	12.5	16.6
TCDQ IR6	8.0	9.6	12.0	16.0
TCSG IR6	7.5	9.0	11.25	15.0
TCRYO	15.0	15.0	15.0	17.0

 $\Rightarrow$  IR3 collimators kept at nominal settings.

# Performance Step 1 & Optics V6.503

#### Loss-map around LHC for beam 1 (for 29.0M particles)



CFC primaries at  $7.2\sigma$ , Cu secondaries at  $8.4\sigma$ , CFC secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material Cu, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $15\sigma$ .

# Performance Step 1 & Optics V6.503

#### **Zoom in IR7 and dispersion suppressor**



CFC primaries at  $7.2\sigma$ , Cu secondaries at  $8.4\sigma$ , CFC secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material Cu, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $15\sigma$ .

 $\eta_c = 6.22 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$  in dispersion suppressor.

# Performance Step 2 & Optics V6.503

#### Loss-map around LHC for beam 1 (for 29.0M particles)



CFC primaries at  $9.0\sigma$ , Cu secondaries at  $10.5\sigma$ , CFC secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material Cu, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $15\sigma$ .

# Performance Step 2 & Optics V6.503

#### **Zoom in IR7 and dispersion suppressor**



CFC primaries at  $9.0\sigma$ , Cu secondaries at  $10.5\sigma$ , CFC secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material Cu, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $15\sigma$ .

 $\eta_c = 1.42 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$  in dispersion suppressor.

# Performance Step 3 & Optics V6.503

#### Loss-map around LHC for beam 1 (for 29.0M particles)



CFC primaries at  $12.0\sigma$ , Cu secondaries at  $14.0\sigma$ , CFC secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material Cu, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $17\sigma$ .

# Performance Step 3 & Optics V6.503

#### **Zoom in IR7 and dispersion suppressor**



CFC primaries at  $12.0\sigma$ , Cu secondaries at  $14.0\sigma$ , CFC secondaries set to relaxed opening ( $26.5\sigma$ ), cryogenic collimators (material Cu, length 1 m) placed at 298.89 m and 388.44 m from IR7 at  $17\sigma$ .

 $\eta_c = 4.28 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$  in dispersion suppressor.

### **Impedance and Gap Size**



Input files for the setting of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 system provided to E. Metral, calculation in preparation.

# **Gap Size and Cleaning Inefficiency**



Reminder: mutliplication "factor" is only true for TCP and TCS collimators. For phase 2 and ideal machine opening gaps upto a "factor" 1.5 possible.

# **Summary and Outlook**

- Simulation for shifted and re-matched optics (V6.503).
- Gain  $\approx 10$  in cleaning efficiency. Reminder: first simulation with unchanged thin lens optics showed a gain of  $\approx 30$ .
- Different sets of collimator opening study to reduce impedance. (TCP and TCS opened by a factor 1.2, 1.5 and 2.0, other collimators opened to keep hierarchy and machine protection)
- To do:
  - Check feasibility and space availability in tunnel.
  - Studies for beam 2 with optics V6.503.
  - Sensitivity to errors (orbit, beta beat, ...) has to be studied.
  - FLUKA studies needed to see energy deposition on the downstream magnets.
  - Impedance calculation for the different cases in preparation.
  - New collimator design for dispersion suppressor needed.